	Case 2:12-cv-00332-JCM-CWH Do	cument 54	Filed 11/21/13	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
	UNITED S	STATES DI	STRICT COURT		
;	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
	CURTIS L. DOWNING,)			
	Plaintiff,) 2	2:12-cv-00332-JCN	И-CWH	
	vs.)			
	JOHNNIE GRAVES, et al.,)	ORDER		
	Defendants.)			
)		(U40) (T	
	This matter is before the Court or				
	November 12, 2013, and Defendant's Re	_			
	Plaintiff, who is incarcerated, see			-	ı to
file his response to Defendants pending dispositive motion (#43). He asserts the extension is					
necessary because he has only limited library time to prepare his filings and needs more time.					
Defendants do not oppose the requested extension. Plaintiff's motion (#49) was untimely and is					
therefore reviewed under the excusable neglect standard of Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule					
("LR") 26-4. In evaluating excusable neglect, the court considers: (1) the reason for the delay and					
whether it was in the reasonable control of the moving party, (2) whether the moving party acted in					
good faith, (3) the length of the delay and its potential impact on the proceedings, and (4) the danger					
of prejudice to the nonmoving party. See Pioneer Inv. Servs. Co. v. Brunswick Assocs., 507 U.S. 380					
395, 113 S.Ct. 1489, 123 L.Ed.2d 74 (1993); see also Comm. for Idaho's High Desert, Inc. v. Yost,					
	92 F.3d 814, 825 n. 4 (9th Cir.1996).				
	Based on Defendants' non-oppos	ition, the Co	urt agrees that som	e extension is warrante	ed.

The undersigned is not convinced, however, that an extension of the length requested is necessary.

Plaintiff's utilization of his allotted time within the prison library is within his reasonable control, even if made more difficult by his confinement. It appears Plaintiff is acting in good faith and a limited extension will have minimal impact, if any, on the proceedings. Defendants agree they will not be prejudiced if an extension is granted. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Enlargement of Time (#49) is granted in part and denied in part. Plaintiff shall file his reply brief by Friday, December 20, 2013. Dated: November 21, 2013. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE